

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,385		10/30/2003	Samuel Lee Miller	50060-00130	7455	
25231	7590	03/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
MARSH, 3151 SOU		ANN & BREYF	TAMAI, KARL I			
SUITE 411		IIIN WAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AURORA	, CO 800	14		2834		
				DATEMAN ED CONTROL		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-					
<b></b>	10/697,385	MILLER ET AL.	<b>Q</b>					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Tamai IE Karl	2834						
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ess					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this comm  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.					
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.							
,	, <del></del>							
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity is/are allowed.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	rawn from consideration.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	<del>-</del> ` · ·	, ,	4 404(4)					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received.  ents have been received in  iority documents have been  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Sta	age					
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/697,385 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the brief description of Figs 5A-C on page 8 should be described as three separate figures, such as Fig 5A, 5B, and 5C.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,385 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hirai et al. (Hirai)(JP 6-160750). Harai teaches a mirror platform 31 elevated entirely from a substrate which is vertically inclined by movement of a lever 15 in a vertical direction by an electrostatic actuator 11. Hira teaches the platform 31 supported by four torsion springs 18 (inherently compliant).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai et al. (Hirai)(JP 6-160750), in further view of Hung et al. (Hung)(US 6,329,738). Hirai teaches every aspect of the invention except the substrate being silicon and the platform being monocrystalline or polyscrystalline silicon. Hung teaches that substrates are know to be made of silicon and the mirror and actuation elements made from

Application/Control Number: 10/697,385

Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

polysilicon to allow micromechanical construction and assembly. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the device of Hirai with a silicon substrate and a polysilicon platform to allow MEMS scale product and production, and because it has been held that selection of a known material for intended purpose is within the ordinary skill in the art (*In Re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416).

9. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirai et al. (Hirai)(JP 6-160750), in further view of Aksyuk al. (Aksyuk)(US 6366414). Hirai teaches every aspect a literal teaching of compliant springs supporting the platform. Aksyuk teaches a platform 17 is supported by compliant springs. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the actuator of Hirai with the platform supported by spring because Aksyuk teaches the springs allow the improved rotation and control of the platform by the electrostatic actuating arms 18-20.

## Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2834

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (571) 272 - 2036.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Darren Schuberg, can be reached at (571) 272 - 2044. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 872 - 9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER March 5, 2004